

1. Q: Should there be a Section L with the solicitation for North Carolina OA CTS?

A: There is no section L for a commercial acquisition. All of the instructions are in the clauses of the solicitation document itself.

2. Q: What is the page limit specification for the Technical Approach, this is not noted in the RFP?

A: There are three questions that have two parts to each. There is no page limit specified because the answers were requested to be innovative; however, if the response to each part of the question can be limited to two pages that should be sufficient. This would be a total of 12 pages.

3. Q: The RFP does not include a request for Past Performance, nor a reference for a page limitation for this section. Is Past Performance to be included and what is the page limit, or is it the government's intent to use the CPAR for this information?

A: Past performance shall be evaluated in accordance with the procedures in 13.106 or Subpart 15.3, as applicable. The clause FAR 52.212-1(b)(10) has the instructions to offerors as it relates to the submission of past performance. Please limit the submission to 5 pages.

4. Q: Clarification is requested regarding the North Carolina OA/CTS solicitation #1630J3-17-R-00002: The summary synopsis states that there is a one year option and a one month option. The Pricing schedule in the solicitation reflects a one year option period and a two month option period (6/01/2019 - 7/31/2019). Please clarify the government's requirement for the solicitation.

A: It reads: The contract will have a one (1) year base period, with a one (1) year option, and one (2) month option.

5. Q: Please confirm that proposals should be emailed to sourcessought@dol.gov and there is no requirement for hard copy proposals.

A: That is correct.

6. Q: Is this an existing contract? If so, what is the current contract number?

A: The existing contract is not the same as this requirement. This will be a commercial acquisition and the terms and conditions are not the same. Therefore, providing the current contract number is not warranted.

Response: Thank you... We found the previous contract in FPDS as we were looking at the overall obligations to gauge the previous scope as it relates to spend.

Response: Please be advised that the scope is not the same either. It has been reduced.